IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPEALLATE SIDE JURISDICTION

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CHAMBER SUMMONS NO.569 OF 2003
IN
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.393 OF 1998
IN
UDUPI ARBITRATION PROCEEDING NO.22 OF 1996

A.S.N. Hebbar ..Petitioner
Vs.
M/s. Maha Rashtra Apex Corpn. Ltd. & Ors. ..Respondents

Mr.A.R. Bamne for Petitioner
None for Respondents

CORAM: S.U.KAMDAR,J.
DATED: 18TH JANUARY, 2005.

P.C.

. The present chamber summons has been taken out for directions to one Mrs. Lakshmi N.R. Naidu to furnish the details of the various properties for the purpose of settling the proclamation of sale by the Commissioner for taking accounts, and on failure to do so, to issue warrant of arrest. Though by an earlier order the chamber summons was made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a) still Mrs. Lakshmi N.R. Naidu has not furnished any details as required for settlement of proclamation of sale.

2. By an order dated 24th August, 2004 a proclamation was directed to be issued under Order XXI Rule 66 of the Code of Civil Procedure as against Mrs. Lakshmi N.R. Naidu directing her to

attend and give evidence and produce documents before the Commissioner for taking accounts to furnish necessary details. However inspite of the said proclamation said Mrs. Lakshmi N.R. Naidu neither appeared before the Commissioner for taking accounts nor has furnished necessary details.

3. In the aforesaid circumstances warrant of arrest is issued against said Mrs. Lakshmi N.R. Naidu as well as against Mr. Neli Rajagopal Naidu for their arrest and for their production before me on 7th February, 2005. (The warrant of arrest shall be bailable and shall be executed against the amount of surety of Rs.10,000/-). In the mean time the plaintiff is also given liberty to take out appropriate legal proceedings against the authorities and/or the Co-operative Society for furnishing necessary details about the properties listed in prayer (a) of the Chamber Summons.

JUDGE